

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,135	01/21/2005	Douglas Wilbert Paul Smith	SM-01 6155	
50285 7	590 10/31/2006		EXAMINER	
LAURENCE C. BONAR			NGUYEN, HOANG M	
917 LOGAN ST PORT TOWNSEND, WA 98368-2337			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3748	
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/523,135	SMITH, DOUGLASS WILBE PAUL	RT		
Examiner .	Art Unit			
Hoang M. Nguyen	3748			

	Hoang M. Nguyen	3748						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff dice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecting E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i L below),	•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		coled oldims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		impliant Amendment (	(DTOL_324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / inchantent (	(1 TOL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will be will be will will be wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a					
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowange because:								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	high	12/2/66					
		Hoang M Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3748	- 1					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment includes new limitations that are required further searches/consideration.